Pupil premium strategy statement (2023 to 2024 academic year)

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|---|---------------------------------|
| School name | Sandymoor Ormiston Academy |
| Number of pupils in school | 623 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 30% |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers | 2023-24 |
| Date this statement was published | October 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | September 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | Sally Jones, Principal |
| Pupil premium lead | Vice Principal / Principal |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Simon Hurst, Vice Chair of Govs |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|--|----------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £154,645 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £22,655 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0 |

Funding for 2022-23:

Pupils in Secondary School (Years 7 to 11) recorded as Ever 6 FSM: £985.

Looked after children (LAC) and previously LAC (adopted from care, or under a special guardianship order, a child arrangements order or a residence order): £2,410.

Service children (pupils from Reception to Year 11 recorded as an Ever 6 service child or in receipt of a child pension from the Ministry of Defence): £320.

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across the curriculum.

The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers. We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans, notably in its targeted support through tutoring for pupils who have gaps in their knowledge / understanding including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel.

To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

When developing our approach, we have been guided by The Education Endowment Foundation.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|------------------|---|
| 1 | Disadvantaged students are generally making less progress than non-disadvantaged peers. |
| | Diagnostic assessment for English in Yr7 indicate 16% of students are below age-related expectations, 50% of which are disadvantaged students |
| | In Maths, diagnostic assessment in Yr7 indicate 11% of students are below age-related expectations, 67% of which are disadvantaged students |

| 2 | Results achieved in the summer of 2023 evidence that this gap in progress still exists as disadvantaged students made slightly less progress generally than non-disadvantaged peers. Disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged progress was -0.46 for disadvantaged students compared to -0.39 for non-disadvantaged students (0.07) for 2023. This is the smallest gap we have achieved to date. Assessments, observations and discussion with KS3 pupils, inlouding PASS surveys indicate that disadvan- |
|---|--|
| 2 | taged pupils generally have lower levels of reading comprehension than peers. This impacts their progress in all subjects. |
| 3 | Our assessments (including wellbeing surveys), observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, such as anxiety and low self-esteem. This is partly driven by concern about reading confidence/exams/future prospects, and previous lack of enrichment opportunities due to the pandemic. These challenges particularly affected disadvantaged pupils. Referrals for mental health / counselling support markedly increased. A higher amount of pupils than ever (vast majority of whom are disadvantaged) currently require additional support with social and emotional needs. |
| 4 | Our attendance data over the last year indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been around 3.36% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils (90.5% compared to 87.22%). 30.6% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 21.8% of their peers during that period. Our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress. |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| Improved attainment amongst disadvantaged pupils across the | Milestones: | | | | |
| curriculum at the end of KS4 | Date | P8 Dis | Dis Basics 4+ | Dis Basics 5+ | |
| | Dec 2023 | -0.6 | 36% | 17% | |
| | April 2024 | -0.4 | 38% | 20% | |
| | Aug 2024 | -0.2 | 40% | 25% | |
| | vention i Closure of of 0.46) Closure of closed to DIS overal Attendance Represent | f Disadvo f Disadvo f Disadvo <0.4 (fr II HPA – ce of Dis | antaged v non- D antaged v non- D om gap of –0.56 0.62 to 0.1 advantaged stud | isadvantaged Pr isadvantaged Pr) lents is 93% or a ents by Disadvar | ntaged students < 30% |
| Improved reading comprehension among disadvantaged pupils across KS3. | Pupils who are below their chronological reading age make at least double the progress in catching up (until they are caught up) e.g. Pupils targeted for intervention in years 7-11 improve reading ages by 18 months over a 10-month period. Weak readers in Y7 in December would have made 8 months progress by 31st December rather than 4 months progress | | | | |

| | Reading intervention sessions demonstrate >85% participation with a clear plan to engage any students not participating. Reading comprehension tests demonstrate improved comprehension skills among disadvantaged pupils and a smaller disparity between the scores of disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers. |
|--|---|
| | Teachers will recognise this improvement through engagement in lessons and book scrutiny. |
| To achieve and sustain | Sustained high levels of wellbeing demonstrated by: |
| improved wellbeing for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged | Qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations A significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among |
| | disadvantaged pupils. |
| To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all | Sustained high attendance demonstrated by: |
| pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils | The overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than the national or local average, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced by 1%. |
| | The percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below the national or local average, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced by 10%. |

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium this academic year to address the challenges listed above

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £62,860 tutors + £50,000 SEND training / recruitment + £10,000 reading packages/testing £122,860

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE KS3 and EEF guidance. We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of the guidance in school, and to access CPD offers (including trust level experts – lead practitioners). | The DfE non-statutory KS3 guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches: Teaching mathematics at key stage 3 - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) To teach maths well, teachers need to assess pupils' prior knowledge and understanding effectively, employ manipulatives and representations, teach problem solving strategies, and help pupils to develop more complex mental models: KS2 KS3 Maths Guidance 2017.pdf (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) | 1, 2 |
| Improving literacy in all subject areas in line with recommendations in the EEF Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools guidance. | Acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they learn new, more complex concepts in each subject: Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools | 1, 2, 3 |

| Professional development and instructional coaching focussed on each teacher's subject area. 6 Pillars of Literacy | Reading comprehension, vocabulary and other literacy skills are heavily linked with attainment in maths and English: word-gap.pdf (oup.com.cn) No More Marking strategy | |
|---|--|---------|
| Use of GL standardised assessments as a tool to direct interventions. Training provided for staff will ensure assessments are interpreted correctly. | Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: | 1, 2, 3 |
| | Standardised tests Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress Education Endowment Foundation EEF | |
| Recruit SEND personnel and support training at SENCO level. Additional training with teaching staff to ensure all pupils have access to a supportive environment. | Special Educational Needs in Mainstream Schools—Recommendations (d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net) EEF 'Five a day principle' Five-a-day-poster 1.1.pdf (d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net) | 1, 2, 3 |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: 15,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Adopting a targeted reciprocal teaching programme. Adopting a reading intervention programme (Lexonic) for disadvantaged pupils who need additional help to comprehend texts and address vocabulary gaps. | Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on pupils' ability to understand a text, and this is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter timespan: Reading comprehension strategies Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF | 2 |
| Provide a blend of mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils who need it the most. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers. | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF | 1, 2, 3 |
| Subject specific interventions for disadvantaged high prior attainers. | Feedback approaches and educational attainment in children and EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) | 1, 2, 3 |

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £39,470

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Counselling/CBT for specific pupils who require support with regulating their behaviour and emotions. | There is evidence to suggest that CBT can have a high impact on risk behaviours and behavioural difficulties: Cognitive Behavioural Therapy - Youth Endowment Fund | 3 |
| This includes training for school staff, collaboration with our local behaviour hub and teacher release time. | EIF's report on adolescent mental health found good evidence that CBT interventions support young people's social and emotional skills and can reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression: | |
| | Adolescent mental health: A systematic review on the effectiveness of school-based interventions Early Intervention Foundation (eif.org.uk) | |
| Embedding principles of good practice set out in DfE's <u>Improving School Attendance</u> advice. | DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels. | 4 |
| Staff training and release time to develop and implement new procedures. Attendance Family Liaison officer appointed to improve attendance. | | |
| Increase hours in SLA with EWO to 60 | | |
| Contingency fund for acute issues. | Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified. | All |

Total budgeted cost: £177,330

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.



Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

| Programme | Provider |
|-----------|----------|
| NA | |

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

| Measure | Details |
|--|---|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year? | One student funded for SPLD assessment £320 |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | Strategies in place to support |

Further information

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils.
- ensuring pupils understand our 'catch-up' plan by providing information about the support they will
 receive (including targeted interventions listed above), how the curriculum will be delivered, and what is
 expected of them. This will help to address concerns around learning loss one of the main drivers of
 pupil anxiety.
- utilising support from <u>Halton's local authority mental health support team</u> to support pupils with mild to moderate mental health and wellbeing issues, many of whom are disadvantaged.
- offering a wide range of high-quality extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities will focus on building life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising.
 Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate through work with our Enrichment Officer.

Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we evaluated activities undertaken in previous years and to what degree they were successful.

We will triangulate evidence from multiple sources of data including assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges facing disadvantaged pupils.

Analyse and respond to data from above through timely progress board meetings with governors and trust directors as well as RAP meetings with SLT.

We have applied to become involved in the <u>EEF's families of schools database</u> to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in school similar to ours and will endeavour to learn from schools with higher performing disadvantaged students to learn from their approach once this tool is available to us.

Study a number of reports and studies about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage.

Utilise <u>EEF's implementation guidance</u> to help us develop our strategy and use it through the implementation of our activities.